



The Oyezxx Press ITL (Inside The Lines) Ongoing Miscarriages Of Justice

The forefathers of our proud America have aimed to set a propelling standard that would exhibit growth and excellence that we as a society could all have faith in.

As American citizens, it's important that we know when dealing with our criminal, civil, or traffic courts without a legal beginning there can never be a legal ending.

Regardless the alleged charges there are literally tens possibly hundreds of thousands of tainted cases across America unnecessarily handcuffing our higher courts and the taxpayers.

As defendants, to adequately fight many of us don't have the means, wherewithal, or the scientific, physical, and or video proof.

Compared to thriving countries the American inmate population numbers have exceeded alarming concerns. What heightens concerns are the lack of effective rehabilitating vehicles amongst many other issues.

The residual effects of incarceration disparities in America speak volumes.

Today nonviolent drug offenders are literally doing harsher jail time than child molesters, murder convictions, sexual assaults, and a bevy of other violent offenses.

In America throughout our judicial system to conceal malice behaviors, tainted activities, and Lord knows how many constitutionally invading convictions our system concludes too many times that they ignore merits and hide behind the makeup and structure of procedure when clearly the merits overshadow any and all procedures.

Ethnically and morally the double standards of our judicial system are toxins that continue to contaminate and cripple the faith in our constitutional protections, civil, and or judicial processes.

Unfortunately, unless the problems touch home or public opinions and perceptions are buzzing inmate and recidivism concerns are lost in profitable formulas.

Admittedly I have not been a saint in my lifetime.

However, does that give our legal processes the right to pursue or convict any of us for alleged activities founded on perjury, constitutional or civil violations, etc., committed and perpetuated by law enforcement officers?

The questioned activities have become just as alarming as the accused.

The Power & Purpose of Sharing My Story is no sham.

The constitutional and civil violations I'm presenting are entirely supported by the pursuing and arresting Ohio State Trooper Christopher Beyer's patrol cruiser dash cam video. In short the meat of the record.





As a learning tool for the public, I'm seeking video editing and highlighting assistance. I have everything needed.

I'm aiming to provide an edited, highlighted, and the original versions of Trooper Beyer's dash cam video in their entirety via my social media page for global exposure.

This story is an ongoing miscarriage of justice that is far greater than me, any one individual, culture, or community.

My most recent filings:

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT
www.ca6.uscourts.gov

Case No. 19 - 3007

In 2014, in part, while gloating my trial prosecutor's opening statement to the jurors was, "There is no DNA evidence, fingerprints, or physical evidence. However, Trooper Beyer's dash cam video is our sole piece of evidence."

Referring to a cell phone conversation after I was illegally stripped of my liberty.

Many will question how might that be based on my detailed position enclosed.

The answer is the State of Ohio, known, and unknown parties collectively made conscious and assertive decisions acted on to manipulate (1) the evidence, and (2) more importantly the record.

Again, one will further question how.

By choosing to completely ignore the poisonous process of the first ten minutes of the video where all the constitutional and possibly civil violations occurred to reach their alleged realm later into the video where they sought support to justify poisonous fruits where the constitutionally invading behaviors continued.

I do acknowledge these allegations are extremely alarming, however, once the mentioned video is independently and thoroughly reviewed I can withstand any angle of scrutiny.

For a more thorough breakdown please review:
Breaking News Bombshell Of An Active Story 2

In addition to its references.

The specific time frames I'm magnifying within the first ten minutes of the dash cam video are:

- a. 8:40 a.m. - 8:42 a.m.
- b. 8:42 a.m. - 8:45 a.m.
- c. 8:45 a.m. - 8:50 a.m.





So the public knows LEADS (Law Enforcement Automated Database System) that is operated by the Ohio Highway Patrol is dispatch who the police radio when checking the status of warrants, our drivers license, etc.

Brady Material is any and all evidence that supports consistencies, truths, and lies. The legal terms are exculpatory and or inculpatory evidence.

The LEADS transmissions in my case per Beyer's testimony are undoubtedly Brady material that I've continuously been denied since 2011.

Every exhausting level of my appellant process has alleged to thoroughly review the entire record and the vehicles taken to convict me.

I beg to differ. Supported by the documented facts, which can be easily understood by our elders, the average citizen, layman's of the law, and the legally sound.

In any version of a traffic or criminal arrest, there are specific protocols and procedures that all police officers must follow per our constitutional protections.

At the forefront of those protocols and procedures are the officers obtaining our IDs, drivers license, and or vehicle credentials.

Throughout the judicial community, it is well known if the birth of a process is poisoned so are the fruits of its poisonous process.

In my case Trooper Beyer with the full support of the prosecutors, unbeknownst to me at that time my own retained defense counsel Jack Bradley, entirely supported by Beyer's dash cam video, the 2012 suppression and 2014 trial testimonies supports after being led Beyer gave pertinent perjured testimonies alleging to obtain my drivers license and the vehicles rental agreement for review between 8:40 a.m. and 8:42 a.m.

Beyer's dash cam video clearly confirms he never obtained either.

Beyer further propelled this lie alleging between 8:42 a.m. and 8:45 a.m. in part stating, "After returning to my cruiser while I was further reviewing the rental agreement, which was quite large and cumbersome in fine print. I attempted to contact LEADS who alleged in detail to be out of service."

Clearly, without this perjured testimony and other dynamics, this profiling case would have been dismissed because of various constitutional and or civil violations.

In addition, in part Beyer testified:

1. Between 8:40 & 8:42 alleged he stood outside my vehicle reviewing the vehicles rental agreement and my drivers license.

The dash cam video confirms that was a lie.

2. At 8:42 the dash cam video confirms Beyer never returned to his patrol cruiser with my drivers license or the vehicle credentials for the possibilities of review between 8:42 & 8:45.





3. Between 8:42 & 8:45 Beyer alleged now in his patrol cruiser while he was further reviewing the vehicles rental agreement again stating, "it was quite cumbersome and large in fine print" alleged he radioed LEADS attempting to run an ID and vehicle credentials check but LEADS radioed back with a detailed dialog alleging LEADS was out of service at that time.

The dash cam video confirms that was a lie.

4. Between 8:42 and 8:45 the video also confirms Beyer never attempted to run my drivers license or vehicle credentials through LEADS.

5. The video also confirms during the same timeframe LEADS never radioed any detailed responses regarding its operational status.

To date, the State of Ohio alleges Beyer was cross-examined extensively during both the suppression hearing 2012 & my trial in 2014 to support an illegal 11-year sentence to be served day for day.

The truth is (1) there continues to be a collective effort to keep the poisoned process off the record, (2) in successful attempts relevant the trial & appellate courts continue to rely on a manipulated record, and (3) although I have never seen the dash cam video until the start of my trial with the jurors, prior to my trial 02/05/14 via certified mail I filed an internal complaint sum totaling everything mentioned. Although entirely on point that has also been completely manipulated as well.

Undoubtedly both Troopers Beyer and Trader exhibited profiling and constitutionally invading behaviors with malice and criminal intent.

In addition, my 2nd day of trial February of 2014, after I personally noticed the violations after seeing Trooper Beyer's video again for the first time with the trial jurors, per the record I requested to recall both Troopers Christopher Beyer and Michael Trader.

Although Trooper Trader was a State's witness against me without my counsel objecting the State of Ohio requested that Trooper Trader sit at the prosecutor's table as the State's partner.

Although conflicting, trial judge Maraldi eventually questioned me why I wanted to recall both troopers; per my instructions counsel notified the record to address 4th amendment concerns.

Again per the record judge Maraldi stated in part, "I'm going to have to deny your request Trooper Beyer is out of state on vacation." He also denied my request to recross Trooper Michael Trader although he was present at the prosecutor's table.

One may question why were these clear issues per the record never addressed trial level.

The answer is being layman's of the law if retained or appointed trial counsel does not protect us per our 6th amendment intentions all too many times such as the instant case favorable evidence is either severely manipulated, lost, or destroyed.

To go further, 2012 without authority, strategic merit, or mere communications with me my retained counsel Jack Bradley waived the viewing of my most important and strongest piece of evidence, Trooper Beyer's mentioned dash cam video.





The video is the DNA to the truth supporting the State of Ohio and Trooper Beyer's perpetuated perjury, civil, and constitutional violations for my illegal 11-year conviction.

Although I have clearly presented these undeniable issues to the courts, as I have you, the higher courts continue to support and propel this ongoing miscarriage of justice.

It's clear that Troopers Beyer and Trader, the Lorain County Prosecutor's Office, the trial court, as well as my defense counsel Jack Bradley collectively made an assertive effort to manipulate and or conceal all the premeditated and unethical facts undeniably supported by the video.

Although I've continuously brought these constitutionally invading issues to the forefront a valid question exists: why do the courts continue to preach procedural bars over the constitutionally invading issues that clearly exist?

Can law enforcement entities continue to fabricate lies to obtain illegal convictions?

If so what makes them or their justifications any different than the alleged criminals they allege to protect society from?

Overcharging and over indicting continues to run ramped throughout our judicial community.

In addition, fighting for our innocence if we go to trial and if we lose we will be penalized for exercising our constitutional right to stand trial.

Even more alarming today, if you have issues or the merits to win in appellant courts our primary hurdle, is no longer our overturnable issues, our biggest hurdles have become finding a judge to do the right thing.

If perjury or constitutionally invading behaviors to any degree are exhibited by law enforcement birthing arrest reports, during grand jury proceedings, etc., and such allegations are supported by the record, no citizen should legally be subjected to fight for his or her life under such perjured or illegal conditions.

To everyone that supports the Colin Kaepernick, Nike, Meek Mill, Kim Kardashian, Me Too, and so many other movements; even within the confines of incarceration, we would like to sincerely say thank you.

As we pray you'll continue to open some eyes.

Written (12/01/18) Clifton A. Jackson

Your likes, repost of link, tags, and hashtags are sincerely appreciated.

