

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-lxiii) IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered 1-655) PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

# EXHIBIT

Q

EXHIBITS A-AAAE IN SUPPORT OF CLIFTON JACKSON ENCLOSED AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX PREPARED MARCH OF 2016 OF A DETAILED TIME LINE OF FACTUAL EVENTS BETWEEN JUNE 14<sup>th</sup>, 2011 AND OCTOBER OF 2015 TO THE BEST OF MY LAYMEN LEGAL ABILITIES.

THIS EXHIBIT "Q" IS REFERENCED IN ¶ 67 not limited too.

CLIFTON A. JACKSON  
P.O. BOX 2346  
BUFFALO, NEW YORK 14240

October 4, 2012

JACK W. BRADLEY Co., L.P.A  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
"THE COMMONS"  
520 BROADWAY, 3<sup>RD</sup> FLOOR  
LORAIN, OH 44052

RE: CASE NO. 11CR083104, EFFECTIVE LEGAL REPRESENTATION

Dear ,

MR. JACK W. BRADLEY,

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF THE FOLLOWING,

A) YOUR MOTION SUBMITTED AUGUST 14<sup>TH</sup>, 2012.

B) COPIES OF EMAILS YOUR OFFICE RECEIVED DATING BACK TO AUGUST 15<sup>TH</sup>, 2012 THROUGH OCTOBER 03<sup>RD</sup>, 2012 FROM ME, REQUESTING YOU TO AMEND YOUR SUBMITTED MOTION DATED AUGUST 14<sup>TH</sup>, 2012.

C) COPY OF THE JUDGES DECISION RELEVANT, DATED OR I RECEIVED VIA EMAIL OCTOBER 01<sup>ST</sup>, 2012! I NOTICED THE SAME OCTOBER 03<sup>RD</sup>, 2012!

MR. BRADLEY, I'VE ATTEMPTED TO CORRESPOND WITH YOU DATING BACK TO MID AUGUST OF THIS YEAR IN REGARDS TO AMENDING YOUR BRIEF PER MY DOCUMENTED REQUEST RELEVANT, HOWEVER RELEVANT YOU DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY COMPLETELY IGNORED ALL MY CORRESPONDENCE ATTEMPTS!

TO DATE HERE WE STAND WITH A DECISION THAT POSSIBLY COULD HAVE BEEN FAVORABLE HAD YOU ADDED AND OR AMENDED YOUR SUBMITTED MOTION DATED AUGUST 14<sup>TH</sup>, 2012 PER MY REQUEST, BUT INSTEAD YOUR ACTIONS AND OR LACK OF INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, SIMPLY FAILING TO COMMUNICATE WITH ME REGARDING ANY AND ALL ASPECTS OF MY LEGAL TROUBLES, WHEN THE BULK OF THE WORK THUS FAR HAS COME FROM MY END, AND IN NO WAY POSSIBLE CAN WE HAVE EFFECTIVE REPRESENTATION WITHOUT COMMUNICATION(S)!

[Recipient Name]  
October 4, 2012  
Page 2

MY EMAILS SENT SPEAKS VOLUMES, AND I'VE HAD INFLUENCE AND INPUT ON EVERY LEVEL OF MY CASE AND YOUR LEGAL REPRESENTATION AS FAR AS WHATS SUBMITTED THUS FAR, HOWEVER AS SOON AS YOU BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION WHAT YOU SUBMITTED AUGUST 14<sup>TH</sup>, 2012, I IMMEDIATELY SHARED MY INPUT AND DESIRES OF WHAT AND WHERE I SHOULD BE STANDING AS FAR AS THE PAPERWORK SUBMITTED RELEVANT, AGAIN YOU COMPLETELY IGNORED ME AND MY DESIRED POSITION REGARDING!

PLEASE EXPLAIN TO ME! WHY WOULD YOU NOT ADD ANY AND ALL INFORMATIONS THAT'S FAVORABLE TO YOUR CLIENT, WHEN ITS ALL PER THE DOCUMENTED RECORD IN A WHOLE? WHEN THERES NOT ONE MOOT POINT THAT RESIDES!

THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR YOUR TIME AND CONSIDERATION REGARDING, AND I DO ANTICIPATE YOUR PROMPT RESPONSE,

Sincerely,

*Clifton A. Jackson*  
CLIFTON A. JACKSON

MAILED CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT NO. 7007 0220 0001 3690 7239

Enclosure