

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-ixiii) IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered 1-655) PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

EXHIBIT

AX

EXHIBITS A-AAAE IN SUPPORT OF CLIFTON JACKSON ENCLOSED AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX PREPARED MARCH OF 2016 OF A DETAILED TIME LINE OF FACTUAL EVENTS BETWEEN JUNE 14th, 2011 AND OCTOBER OF 2015 TO THE BEST OF MY LAYMEN LEGAL ABILITIES.
THIS EXHIBIT "AX" IS REFERENCED IN ¶ 103 not limited too.

Subject: THE DOCUMENTED TIME LINE DATING BACK TO 2011 TO DATE, PER TRANSCRIPTS AND DOCUMENTED LEGAL CORRESPONDENCE WITH REPRESENTING ATTORNEY(S) AND OR COURT REPORTERS!

From: jackson_clif@yahoo.com (jackson_clif@yahoo.com)

To: markaattorney@gmail.com; RamonlrizaryEsq@gmail.com;

Cc: newgenerationchurch1@gmail.com; Jerome.davis9@gmail.com; jackson_clif@yahoo.com;

Date: Monday, December 23, 2013 8:04 AM

Attention to my legal community, known and unknown, more importantly to my State of Ohio Legal Representation,

YOU WILL RECEIVE THIS EMAIL TWICE THIS MORNING, THE SECOND TIME WILL SOLELY BE FOR THE DOCUMENTED TIME LINE PURPOSES ONLY, AS THE TIME FOR YOUR READING ONCE COMPLETED WILL READ FROM 2011 TO DATE! THIS WILL ALSO BE PART OF THE EXHIBIT STRUCTURE AS WELL.

The following emails resently

(or will be sent shortly is the documented time line, that will also be consistent with the exhibit structure in the very near future, however theres one email I'm having trouble finding that was sent on or around November 16th, 2012, the actual date the reconsideration motion was submitted, consisting of a dialog with me directing Jack Bradleys office not to submit the reconsideration motion because he was moving in haste in doing so for what ever reason(s), in that same correspondence I challenge the validity of the motion compared to the flawed Suppression decision and my strategic options relevant, the motion was filed against my documented wishes, and subsequently the supplement to the reconsideration motion to Suppress was drawn up by myself for my protections, even if subpoenaed the documentation exist)

sent, is the actual documented time line and it's content's from the birth of this case June 14th, 2011 to date

(but not limited to, because there are additional emails from this time line by myself that were also completely ignored by counsel)

however please note and acknowledge the documented facts or the lack thereof, there are no responses to 99.99 % of my emails, more importantly there are no responses to the meat of my emails dating back to August 2012, because they do not exist.

I WOULD LIKE HIGHLIGHT THIS POINT BECAUSE REGARDLESS OF HOW JACK BRADLEYS OFFICE ALLOWED MY CONSTITUTIONAL AND OR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO BE VIOLATED HIGHLIGHTING HIS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. THIS HAS BEEN MY DOCUMENTED DISPOSITION THROUGHOUT!

Again, my global and desired positioning is clearly documented, therefor please pay close attentions to dates,

times and temperatures etc., and my disposition has not detoured and or deterred to date in any way, period!.

ALSO AGAIN, PLEASE NOTE, THE GREATER PART OF 99.99% OF MY EMAILS (ALTHOUGH CONFIRMED RECEIVED BY JACK BRADLEYS LAW OFFICE SECRETARY AND CONFIRMED FORWARDED TO MR BRADLEY HIMSELF), AND DESIRED REQUEST LANDED ON DEATH EARS, NOR WERE RESPONDED TO ON ANY FORMAT AND OR IN ANY DEGREE, THIS IS THE SOLE PURPOSE THE TEMPO WAS PUSH, PRESSED AND CONTROLLED BY MYSELF AS BEST I COULD TO DATE!

In hopes to assist you with, in breathing and digesting through the possibility of a hundred plus emails, and the purposes thereof at each documented particular point in time, in hoping this simplified time line version will assist you.

Please do not hesitate to contact me, if any questions arise!

Do note I will remain hands on period, and no court, judge or god can or will deter that, because theres only one appropriate representation, in which that comment was made directly related to the residing judges alarming bias disposition as documented in November 18th of 2013 in removing counsel & November 25TH, of 2013 in appointing counsel, the transcripts attached as I would like to highlight my deeply embedded concerns of these bias behaviors exhibited by the judge, which in this writers opinion is inappropriate

(do note I've only seen this judge twice in my life (in which he replaced a retired judge that I've also only seen two times in my life as well being the documented county court arraignment and the documented dated of August 2012 of the suppression testimony, in which my alarming concerns resides as well in a state case thats approaching 32 plus months in existance thats been consistently and inappropriately litigated off the record be it the judges chamber etc., however no documented record of the same exist), the two documented dates above, therefore it is this writers concerns of where can his documented frustration(s) and or comments derive from, it's clearly not the documented record or the lack thereof) ,

but not limited to my deepest concerns of inappropriate conduct collectively including but not limited to Jack Bradley office ineffective of representation and the District Attorney's office, and possibly others, but collectively if not already knee deep, are neighboring waters of negligence and liability concerns!

In fact, besides my waivers of rights of awaiting the Suppression Hearing time frame from November 4th, 2011 to September 29th, 2012 & November 18th, 2013 to date because of appointment of new counsel, there is no documentation that exists of me waiving my speedy trial rights, and or authorizing counsel to do so, in fact my documented correspondence have quite the opposite!

Please a direct request from my Ohio legal representation, we need copies of all the court forms signed or unsigned that waived or did not waived the speedy trial clock, this request is paramount because Jack Bradley office actions or the lack thereof has been clearly inappropriate and negligent!

We also need a up to date copy of the courts docket sheet relevent immediately please, collectively if possible scan and send my to email, again immediately please, thank you in advance!

I truly appreciate the time, understanding, efforts and patience of my legal community collectively,

Respectfully,
Clifton Jackson

Attention to my legal community, known and unknown, more importantly to my State of Ohio Legal Representation,

YOU WILL RECEIVE THIS EMAIL TWICE THIS MORNING, THE SECOND TIME WILL SOLELY BE FOR THE DOCUMENTED TIME LINE PURPOSES ONLY, AS THE TIME FOR YOUR READING ONCE COMPLETED WILL READ FROM 2011 TO DATE! THIS WILL ALSO BE PART OF THE EXHIBIT STRUCTURE AS WELL.

The following emails resently

(or will be sent shortly is the documented time line, that will also be consistent with the exhibit structure in the very near future, however theres one email I'm having trouble finding that was sent on or around November 16th, 2012, the actual date the reconsideration motion was submitted, consisting of a dialog with me directing Jack Bradleys office not to submit the reconsideration motion because he was moving in haste in doing so for what ever reason(s), in that same correspondence I challenge the validity of the motion compared to the flawed Suppression decision and my strategic options relevant, the motion was filed against my documented wishes, and subsequently the supplement to the reconsideration motion to Suppress was drawn up by myself for my protections, even if subpoenaed the documentation exist)

sent, is the actual documented time line and it's content's from the birth of this case June 14th, 2011 to date

(but not limited to, because there are additional emails from this time line by myself that were also completely ignored by counsel)

however please note and acknowledge the documented facts or the lack thereof, there are no responses to 99.99 % of my emails, more importantly there are no responses to the meat of my emails dating back to August 2012, because they do not exist.

I WOULD LIKE HIGHLIGHT THIS POINT BECAUSE REGARDLESS OF HOW JACK BRADLEYS OFFICE ALLOWED MY CONSTITUTIONAL AND OR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS TO BE VIOLATED HIGHLIGHTING HIS INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. THIS HAS BEEN MY DOCUMENTED DISPOSITION THROUGHOUT!

Again, my global and desired positioning is clearly documented, therefor please pay close attentions to dates, times and temperatures etc., and my disposition has not detoured and or deterred to date in any way, period!.

ALSO AGAIN, PLEASE NOTE, THE GREATER PART OF 99.99% OF MY EMAILS (ALTHOUGH CONFIRMED RECEIVED BY JACK BRADLEYS LAW OFFICE SECRATARY AND CONFIRMED FORWARDED TO MR BRADLEY HIMSELF), AND DESIRED REQUEST LANDED ON DEATH