

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-ixii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered 1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

EXHIBIT

AU

EXHIBITS A-AAAAE (EXHIBIT "U") IN SUPPORT OF CLIFTON JACKSON ENCLOSED AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX PREPARED MARCH OF 2016 OF A DETAILED TIME LINE OF FACTUAL EVENTS BETWEEN JUNE 14th, 2011 AND OCTOBER OF 2015 TO THE BEST OF MY LAYMEN LEGAL ABILITIES.

> Subject: MY PENDING & EXISTING DISCOVERY REQUEST

>

>

> Please confirm receipt of this email immediately please.

>

> Dear Mark

>

> In court today the judge took on a disposition as though I have been the problem as far as this case moving forward in the proper direction, and frankly speaking that's the furthest thing from the truth!

>

> I will not change my approach to any degree, regarding my desired representation regardless who's paying for the representation period!

>

> The District Attorney mentioned giving you discovery that was giving to Jack Bradley, and that's fine, however my pending discovery request in its entirety remains my desired request as well!

>

> Again, I need the court transcripts for November 18th and November 25th, 2013 court appearance's immediately please!

>

> I am appalled and deeply concerned with the judges sum totaled biased disposition regarding Jack Bradley and my desired pasted & present legal representation, in which it is this writer's belief to be inappropriate.

>

> It's extremely paramount that I incorporate a motion to place the emails as part of the documented record, it appears that Jack Bradley was doing a lot of inappropriate things behind close doors, including but not limited to , to misrepresenting my desired documented wishes with the sole purpose of sum totaling assisting the peoples case, which further solidifies why we need the transcripts of these close doors conferences which clearly differs from my documented wishes!

>

> I've seen this particular residing judge twice and I've seen the retired judge twice since the life of this case twice, so where can the judges frustration reside from?

>

> I HAVE NEVER directly or indirectly asked Jack Bradley to adjourn ANY court appearances from the life of this case June 2011. Why would I?

>

> The only time frames that directly should not be accredited to me is from November 04, 2011 to on or around September 09, 2012, the original flawed suppression hearing decision, and the November 2012 to or in between December 2012 reconsideration decision!

>

> THE REST OF THE TIME TO DATE SHOULD COUNT AGAINST THE PEOPLES! There is no other justifiable documented reasonings why Jack Bradley should have went behind closed doors asking for adjournments period!, in fact I've been waiting on requested documentation from the peoples that eludes me until this very day!

>

> SO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY CAN AND HAVE BEEN DIRECTLY REFUSING TO FURNISH THE REQUESTED DOCUMENTATION SINCE ON OR AROUND JULY 03, 2013, AND THE TIME

HAS BEEN BEING CHARGED TO THE DEFENDANT?

>

> HOW CONSTITUTIONAL IS THAT, been not limited too!

>

> Thank you in advance for your understanding of the attached, and in anticipation of your prompt response,

>

> Respectfully,

> Clifton A. Jackson

-----Forwarded message-----

From: jackson_clif@yahoo.com

To: newgenerationchurch1@gmail.com

Cc: Markaattorney@gmail.com, jackson_clif@yahoo.com

Sent: Mon, Dec 2, 2013 2:10 PM PST

Subject: Fwd: MY PENDING & EXISTING DISCOVERY REQUEST

The smaller details is what defines the bigger picture!

Begin forwarded message:

> From: "Clifton A. Jackson" <jackson_clif@yahoo.com>

> Date: November 25, 2013 at 9:26:27 PM EST

> To: "Markaattorney@gmail.com" <Markaattorney@gmail.com>

> Cc: Pastor Ties <newgenerationchurch1@gmail.com>, Rome <Jerome.davis9@gmail.com>, jackson_clif@yahoo.com

> Subject: MY PENDING & EXISTING DISCOVERY REQUEST

>

>

> Please confirm receipt of this email immediately please.

>

> Dear Mark

>

> In court today the judge took on a disposition as though I have been the problem as far as this case moving forward in the proper direction, and frankly speaking that's the furthest thing rom the truth!

>

> I will not change my approach to any degree, regarding my desired representation regardless who's paying for the representation period!