

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-ixiii) IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered 1-655) PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

# EXHIBIT

# AD

EXHIBITS A-AAAE IN SUPPORT OF CLIFTON JACKSON ENCLOSED AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX PREPARED MARCH OF 2016 OF A DETAILED TIME LINE OF FACTUAL EVENTS BETWEEN JUNE 14<sup>th</sup>, 2011 AND OCTOBER OF 2015 TO THE BEST OF MY LAYMEN LEGAL ABILITIES.  
THIS EXHIBIT "AD" IS REFERENCED IN ¶ 82 not limited too.

From: jackson\_cliff@yahoo.com  
Subject: Re: Court Notice  
Date: March 5, 2013, 8:21 PM  
To: Maricella <mari.ibradleylaw@centurytel.net>

Please send confirmation of receipt

Please retrieve and send a copy of the court docket, so I can assess how the time has been charged throughout these proceedings to date.

There has been no reason to date that the defendant should have requested stoppage of time for any reason whatsoever to date. Mr. Bradley has lead me to believe we have not requested stoppage of time for any reason to date.

My purpose is to gain understanding on how we are way past speedy trial time per this case in a hole. Although a grave question exists on how it can take almost a year to start and complete a suppression hearing, even if the suppression hearing suspends the speedy trial clock, the clock resumes on or around September 29, 2012. There as been uncountable court appearances, rescheduling, etc. all should have been charged to the people, in addition to the speedy trial clock being well past the allowable time. For if any reason the stoppage of time was charged to the defendant, via my legal counsel we have a grave issue because we should have not asked for stoppage of time for any reason from June 14, 2011, and as my legal representation this is what my lawyer has lead me to believe to date.

Furthermore a question to Mr. Bradley directly, why haven't he responded to my last email that was sent December 26, 2012 my emails addressing the issues spoke of in the present email in addition to other issues to contained in that email?

Thank you in advance for you time relevant!

Clifton A. Jackson

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 5, 2013, at 12:04 PM, "Maricella" <mari.ibradleylaw@centurytel.net> wrote:

Clifton,

If you could please get back to me and let me know if you are able to schedule a appointment with Jack before you court date.

<jackson-c-3-18-notice.DOC.pdf>