

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-ixiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered 1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

# EXHIBIT

# AAA

EXHIBITS A-AAAE IN SUPPORT OF CLIFTON JACKSON ENCLOSED AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX PREPARED MARCH OF 2016 OF A DETAILED TIME LINE OF FACTUAL EVENTS BETWEEN JUNE 14<sup>th</sup>, 2011 AND OCTOBER OF 2015 TO THE BEST OF MY LAYMEN LEGAL ABILITIES.  
THIS EXHIBIT "AAA" IS REFERENCED IN ¶ 107 not limited too.

From: "jackson\_clif@yahoo.com" <jackson\_clif@yahoo.com>  
Subject: Fwd: Re: DATED JANUARY 9TH, 2014 FOR TIME LINE PURPOSES, IMMEDIATELY PREPARE A Motion Or The Proper Documentation To Force The District Attorney's Office To Fully Adhere To The July 3rd, 2013 Brady Request/Discovery Demands, AS THEY HAVE NOT DONE SO TO DATE!  
Date: January 13, 2014 12:01:33 PM EST  
To: "Danny Trent @" <ddprint72@roadrunner.com>

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy S3 mini

----- Original message -----

From: jackson\_clif@yahoo.com  
Date: 01/11/2014 7:53 PM (GMT-05:00)  
To: Mark Aufdenkampe  
Cc: Pastor Ties ,Rome ,Franklins Ramon  
Subject: Re: DATED JANUARY 9TH, 2014 FOR TIME LINE PURPOSES, IMMEDIATELY PREPARE A Motion Or The Proper Documentation To Force The District Attorney's Office To Fully Adhere To The July 3rd, 2013 Brady Request/Discovery Demands, AS THEY HAVE NOT DONE SO TO DATE!

Yes I concur upon my review, however there clearly resides speedy trial issues, would you agree?

Also I have never seen nor authorized any previously waived language from my counsel and or anyone else for that matter! Why would that language reside if I am present?

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy S3 mini