

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-ixiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered 1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

EXHIBIT

AA

EXHIBITS A-AAAAE IN SUPPORT OF CLIFTON JACKSON ENCLOSED AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX PREPARED MARCH OF 2016 OF A DETAILED TIME LINE OF FACTUAL EVENTS BETWEEN JUNE 14th, 2011 AND OCTOBER OF 2015 TO THE BEST OF MY LAYMEN LEGAL ABILITIES.
THIS EXHIBIT "AA" IS REFERENCED IN ¶ 78 not limited too.

Subject: Fw: Dated December 19th, 2012. A Key Email Sent And Received
 From: jackson_clif@yahoo.com (jackson_clif@yahoo.com)
 To: RamonIrizarryEsq@gmail.com; markaattorney@gmail.com;
 Cc: Jerome.davis9@gmail.com; newgenerationchurch1@gmail.com; jackson_clif@yahoo.com;
 Date: Saturday, December 21, 2013 6:13 PM

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy S@ 4 mini

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy S@ 4 mini

----- Original message -----
From: Clif Jackson <jackson_clif@yahoo.com>

Date:12/20/2013 7:18 PM (GMT-05:00)
To:jackson_clif@yahoo.com
Subject: Fw: Dated
 December 19th, 2012. A Key Email Sent And Received

Re: Constitutional Protections Concerns With The Sum Totalling Handling Of The Suppression Motion And The Hearings Relevant, Over All Speedy Trail Issues Regarding My Case Number, Because Per You My Counsel We Never Suspended Time Via Request Etc. From The Birth Of This Case Number, Also The Adverse Strategic Dispositions Of Counsels, State And Defendant Wise, And More importantly Our Future Direction Preparing For Trail To Expose The Obvious Holes That Exist In The States Case Against Me Regarding The Docket Number Relevant.

Dear Mr. Bradley,

Please send email confirmation of receipt of this email immediately, thank you in advance.

To the naked and trained eye, its clear that many issues exist with the handling and process of this case number relevant. I've never seen a one page, one sentence decision when such case law mirrors the instant argument, wholly supported by the record sum totalling the documented facts! I can not find such a decision in the law books thus far, however I need a F.O.I.L request(s) to affirm Trooper Beyers testimonies that one, on the date and exact time of the initial arrest, its time line, and per Trooper Beyers testimony that the L.E.A.D.S Program was down in any capacities, less alone his testimony of servicing, and two to affirm Trooper Beyers testimony that his onboard camara(s) were malfunctioning in any capacities, less alone his testimonies of the time etc. malfunctioning(s).

Also, we need to start the process of getting, the contents of the video and any and all statements and or conversations recorded illegally while illegally detained per the documented record to date, while waiting on the K-9 arrival the day of the initial arrest, frankly speaking (after I deneid Trooper

Beyers consent to search, after exiting the vehicle by force, I still manage to lock the vehicle doors and was subsequently illegally placed in a patrol vehicle stripped of my liberty, while waiting on the K-9 arrival, Trooper Beyers then confiscated the vehicle keys, eventually proceeded around the vehicle with no regards for Constitutional Protections. Ohio Law, and its appropriate process and as a Trooper in which he swore under oath for), this illegal evidence was used and relied upon against me at the actual suppression hearing via the District Attorneys introduction(s) as evidence, however not limited to, again, it all should be suppressed or dismissed because it all was obtained illegally in violations of constitutional protections!

These are issues and avenues I've shared with you all too many times, only to be ignored! Trooper Beyers has clear credibility issues, not to mention this entire weak but serious case revolves around him solely! His initial reports are tainted compared to his suppression hearing testimony, and neither are consistent with one another to a grave degree! What timeline is the judge referring to in his original decision? None of the timelines spoke of by the judge exist per any of the documented record to date, but that erred decision! That needs to be addressed as well, however not limited to,

I thank you for your time regarding, and I do anticipate your prompt response,

Sincerely,

Clifton A. Jackson

Re: Constitutional Protections Concerns With The Sum Totalling Handling Of The Suppression Motion And The Hearings Relevant, Over All Speedy Trial Issues Regarding My Case Number, Because Per You My Counsel We Never Suspended Time Via Request Etc. From The Birth Of This Case Number, Also The Adverse Strategic Dispositions Of Counsels, State And Defendant Wise, And More importantly Our Future Direction Preparing For Trial To Expose The Obvious Holes That Exist In The States Case Against Me Regarding The Docket Number Relevant.

Dear Mr. Bradley,

Please send email confirmation of receipt of this email immediately, thank you in advance.

To the naked and trained eye, it's clear that many issues exist with the handling and process of this case number relevant. I've never seen a one page, one sentence decision when such case law mirrors the instant argument, wholly supported by the record sum totalling the documented facts! I can not find such a decision in the law books thus far, however I need a F.O.I.L request(s) to affirm Trooper Beyers testimonies that one, on the date and exact time of the initial arrest, its time line, and per Trooper Beyers testimony that the L.E.A.D.S Program was down in any capacities, less alone his testimony of servicing, and two to affirm Trooper Beyers testimony that his onboard camera(s) were malfunctioning in any capacities, less alone his testimonies of the time etc. malfunctioning(s).

Also, we need to start the process of getting, the contents of the video and any and all statements and or

conversations recorded illegally while illegally detained per the documented record to date, while waiting on the K-9 arrival the day of the initial arrest, frankly speaking (after I denied Trooper Beyers consent to search, after exiting the vehicle by force, I still manage to lock the vehicle doors and was subsequently illegally placed in a patrol vehicle stripped of my liberty, while waiting on the K-9 arrival, Trooper Beyers then confiscated the vehicle keys, eventually proceeded around the vehicle with no regards for Constitutional Protections. Ohio Law, and its appropriate process and as a Trooper in which he swore under oath for), this illegal evidence was used and relied upon against me at the actual suppression hearing via the District Attorneys introduction(s) as evidence, however not limited to, again, it all should be suppressed or dismissed because it all was obtained illegally in violations of constitutional protections!

These are issues and avenues I've shared with you all too many times, only to be ignored! Trooper Beyers has clear credibility issues, not to mention this entire weak but serious case revolves around him solely! His initial reports are tainted compared to his suppression hearing testimony, and neither are consistent with one another to a grave degree! What timeline is the judge referring to in his original decision? None of the timelines spoke of by the judge exist per any of the documented record to date, but that erred decision! That needs to be addressed as well, however not limited to,

I thank you for your time regarding, and I do anticipate your prompt response,

Sincerely,

Clifton A. Jackson