CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-lxiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES, AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

APPENDIX

"LIST & DESCRIPTIONS OF EACH EXHIBIT, AND SOME OF THE LEGAL QUESTIONS AT BAR"

OF CLIFTON JACKSON

AFFIDAVIT

EXHIBITS "A-AAAE" (EXHIBIT "AAAE" ATTACHED, WHICH IS 1 CD OF THE GREATER MAJORITY OF EXHIBITS IN PDF FORMAT, ALTHOUGH NOT IN ORDER PRIMARILY OF THE TIME LINE FROM JUNE 14th, 2011 - FEBRUARY OF 2014 PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF TRIAL), IN SUPPORT OF CLIFTON JACKSON ENCLOSED AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS.

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-ixili) IN ROMAN NUMERAL EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered1-655) PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

Appendix (A-AAAE) Exhibits And Descriptions	Appx. Page
1EXHIBIT "A". The Unedited (1 DVD) Audio & Video In It's Entirety of June 14th, 2011, Completely Broken Down Verbatim, Per The Hour, Minute and Second.	1
2EXHIBIT "B". The Arrest Report Authored By Ohio State Trooper Christopher Beyer And The Trooper Notes From The Date Of Arrest June 14th, 2011. The Time Line Documented In This Report, Clearly Is Not Supported By Exhibit "A" Attached, Being The Audio & Video.	2-10
3EXHIBIT "C". The Indictment Filed August 11th, 2011.	11-13
4EXHIBIT "D". The DEA Report of August of 2011.	14-35
5EXHIBIT "E". The Original Motion For Discovery Filed August 25th, 2011.	36-40
6EXHIBIT "F". The Lorain County Prosecutor's Original Motion Of Discovery Response Filed September Of 2011.	41-45
7EXHIBIT "G". Cover Letter To Attorney Jack Bradley Dated October 31st, 2011 Sent Certified Mail Return Receipt.	46-47
8EXHIBIT "H". The Initial Suppression Hearing Motion Filed November 4th, 2011.	48-57
9EXHIBIT "I". Email Clifton Jackson Received From Attorney Jack Bradley December 15th, 2011, Confirming Counsel Was Well Aware Of Legality Concerns With Ohio State Trooper K-9 Argo.	58-59
10EXHIBIT "J". Suppression Hearing Transcripts Of June 4th, 2012.	60-109
Some Of The Legal Issues At Bar:	

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, it-lxiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

1 1-41 Of Exhibit "A" Of This Affidavit (which is the detailed time line of the audio & video attached), Clearly Established A Prima Facle Showing That Ohio State Troopers Christopher Bever And Micheal Trader Committed Periury Amongst Other Violations, Which Was Known To The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office, Defense Counsel Jack Bradley And Then Judge Edward Zaleski (Not Limited Too), June 4th, 2012, Entirely Supported By This exhibit, which is the Suppression Hearing Transcripts and the Following Pages, Not Limited Too: Page 10, Lines 4-9, confirms Trooper Christopher Beyer lied about reviewing an alleged rental agreement he never took to review supported by ¶ 8 of the enclosed affidavit. Page 22. Lines 13-18, confirms Beyer never reserved or preserved an rental agreement argument June 14th, 2011. Page 11, Lines 5-13, confirms there is Brady materials that I have been deprived of, which is immediately associated with alleged notated issues with Trooper Beyer patrol cruiser video time stamp, however now Retired Judge Edward Zaleski Suppression Hearing Decision time reference although his recollection of events are not consistent at all with the time line of factual events supported by Exhibit A of this affidavit, Judge Zaleski referred to the specific time line of Exhibit "A" of this affidavit. Page 13, Lines 9-10, Beyer confirms the vehicle was locked, and Exhibit "AR" of the enclosed affidavit confirms no warrants were ever requested or obtained to legally gain entry to that locked vehicle June 14th, 2011, ultimately supporting a 4th Amendment violation. Page 13-14. Lines 24-25 confirms Beyer's committed perjury regarding the operational status of LEADS System June 14th, 2011. Page 16. Lines 4-10, and Page 30, Lines 18-25, confirms Beyer made conflicting statements regarding Ohio State Trooper K-9 Argo alleged drug indication. Page 17. Lines 11-17, Ohio State Trooper Christopher Beyer Testified Clifton Jackson Immediately Invoked His Right To Legal Representation, Its Clear The DEA, Ohio State Troopers Christopher Beyer and Micheal Trader Amongst Other Violated This Right On Multiple Occasions, Supported By Exhibit A Of This Affidavit. Page 17-19, Lines 21-10, Confirms Jack Bradley Made The Ethical and Moral Decision To Compromise Clifton Jackson Constitutional Protections and Allowed The Same To Be Compromised By The Lorain County Assistant Prosecutor's Etc., This Portion Also Confirms The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office, Troopers Christopher Beyer, Micheal Trader,

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-lxiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

Now Retired Judge Edward Zaleski Amongst Others Reviewed The Audio & Video (Exhibit "A" of the enclosed affidavit), In It's Entirety Either Before, During Or After The Suppression Hearing Date Of June 14th, 2012 Adjournment. On Cross-Examination Page 21-22, Lines 21-1 and Page 22, Lines 13-18. These Two Portions Confirmed Ohio State Trooper Christopher Beyer Did Not Preserve Or Reserve An Alleged Vehicle Rental Agreement Argument and Christopher Beyer Committed Perjury About An Alleged Review Of An Rental Agreement. Page 30. Lines 18-25, Confirms On Direct Examination Christopher Beyer Testified He Did Witnessed The K-9 Alleged Indication, Which Trooper Beyer Clearly Changed His Testimony Relevant, On Direct Examination Of Ohio State Trooper Micheal Trader, Page 34-36, Lines 5-25, Confirms Micheal Trader and K-9 Argo's Status June 14th, 2011, Page 37, Lines 1-13, Micheal Trader Suppression Hearing Testimony Mentions Nothing About His Tapping Command. Although Appellant Counsel Paul Mancino Jr., Deprived Clifton Jackson Of The Trial Transcripts, Micheal Trader Confirmed In His Trial Testimony On Cross-Examination When Specifically Questioned About The Tapping (which clearly contradicts Micheal Trader suppression hearing testimony on direct examination in part, which stated: A. Yes Ma'am I deployed my dog in a counterclockwise pattern, starting at the rear of the vehicle. When my K-9 reached the driver's side door and driver's back door area, he showed a distinct change in behavior, showing that he was in the odor of narcotics; his breathing changed; he squared off to the vehicle; then he indicated to the odor of narcotics by scratching the vehicle), That he Tapped The Vehicle Alleging To Get K-9 Argo Attention. Legally If The K-9 Independently Indicated, Why Would You Have To Tap The Vehicle Prior To The Alleged Indication To Get The K-9 Attention? Page 38, Lines 16-18, Confirms The Alleged K-9 Indication Was The Vehicle Used To Obtain An Alleged Probable Cause. On Cross-Examination, Page 40, Lines 18-20, Confirms The Importance Of Reserving and Preserving The Rental Agreement if That Was An Issues. Page 43-44, Lines 3-12, Confirms The Legality Concerns With The Ohio State Troopers Micheal Trader And K-9 Argo. This Portion Also Confirms K-9 Argo Did Not Indicate On The Trunk Of The Vehicle. Due to the fact there is so mush more, then whats mentioned, the suppression hearing testimonies clearly warrants a thorough internal reCLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of effidavit unnumbered, lif-txiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 268 MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

view for constitutional, due process and possibly civil rights violations, not limited to a 4th Amendment violation, when thoroughly compared to Exhibit A of the enclosed affidavit.	
11EXHIBIT *K*. Unauthorized Motion Filed By Attorney Jack Bradley August 14th, 2012.	110-116
12EXHIBIT "L". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received) To Jack Bradley's Law Office, With Detailed Instructions To Attorney Jack Bradley, Dated August 15th, 2012. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email.	117-120
13EXHIBIT "M". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Attorney Jack Bradley Law Office September 11th, 2012, With Detailed Instructions Regarding Brady Material(s), 6th and 4th Amendment Violations, Not Limited To Perjury Prompting. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email.	121-123
14EXHIBIT "N". The Altered (Pay Immediate Attention To The Last Page Of The Decision, Right Before/Above Judge Edward Zaleski Signature Where That Speck Of Ink Clearly Resides), Suppression Hearing Decision Filed September 28th, 2012.	124-127
15EXHIBIT *O*. Email Clifton Jackson Received From Jack Bradley's Law Office October 1st, 2012, That Included An Attachment, Which Was Judge Edward Zaleski Unaltered Suppression Hearing Decision (Pay Immediate Attention To The Last Page Of The Decision, Right Before/Above Judge Edward Zaleski Signature, The Motion To Suppress Was Clearly "Granted"). How Could Attorney Jack Bradley Have A Copy Of This Decision If It Was Not The Original Decision, Especially After His Office Forward Me A Copy After The Decision Was Filed September 28th, 2012?	128-131
16EXHIBIT "P". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Attorney Jack Bradley's Law Office October 3 rd , 2012, Expressing 6 th and 4 th Amendment Violations Concerns, Not Limited Too.	132-134

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-ixiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

Clifton Jackson Sent Via Email (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), And Certified Mail Return Receipt To Jack Bradley's Law Office, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violation Concerns, Not Limited Too. 18EXHIBIT "R". Correspondence Clifton Jackson Received From Jack Bradley Law Office (The Only Correspondence Received From Jack Bradley Besides Blank DVD'S On Several Occasions Via Mail), Via United States Postage Dated October 24th, 2012. 19EXHIBIT "S". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office November 13th, 2012, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violation Concerns, Not Limited Too. 20EXHIBIT "T". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 20th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 21EXHIBIT "U". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 16th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 22EXHIBIT "V". Unauthorized Reconsideration Motion Filed November 16th, 2012. 23EXHIBIT "W". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. Counsel Simply Filed Supplement.		
Bradley Law Office (The Only Correspondence Received From Jack Bradley Besides Blank DVD'S On Several Occasions Via Mail), Via United States Postage Dated October 24th, 2012. 19EXHIBIT "S". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office November 13th, 2012, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violation Concerns, Not Limited Too. 20EXHIBIT "T". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 20th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 21EXHIBIT "U". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 16th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 22EXHIBIT "V". Unauthorized Reconsideration Motion Filed November 16th, 2012. 23EXHIBIT "W". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. Counsel Simply Filed Supplement. 24EXHIBIT "X". Supplement To Motion To Reconsider Motion To Suppress Filed December 7th, 2012.	Clifton Jackson Sent Via Email (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), And Certified Mail Return Receipt To Jack Bradley's Law Office,	135-137
Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office November 13th, 2012, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violation Concerns, Not Limited Too. 20EXHIBIT "T". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 20th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 21EXHIBIT "U". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 16th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 22EXHIBIT "V". Unauthorized Reconsideration Motion Filed November 148-155 16th, 2012. 23EXHIBIT "W". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. Counsel Simply Filed Supplement. 24EXHIBIT "X". Supplement To Motion To Reconsider Motion To Suppress Filed December 7th, 2012.	Bradley Law Office (The Only Correspondence Received From Jack Bradley Besides Blank DVD'S On Several Occasions Via Mail), Via United	138-139
Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 20th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 21EXHIBIT "U". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 16th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 22EXHIBIT "V". Unauthorized Reconsideration Motion Filed November 148-155 (Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. Counsel Simply Filed Supplement. 24EXHIBIT "X". Supplement To Motion To Reconsider Motion To Suppress Filed December 7th, 2012.	Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office November 13th, 2012, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violation Concerns, Not Lim-	140-141
Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 16th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. 22EXHIBIT "V". Unauthorized Reconsideration Motion Filed November 148-155 16th, 2012. 23EXHIBIT "W". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. Counsel Simply Filed Supplement. 24EXHIBIT "X". Supplement To Motion To Reconsider Motion To Suppress Filed December 7th, 2012.	Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 20th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Com-	142-145
16th, 2012. 23EXHIBIT "W". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. Counsel Simply Filed Supplement. 24EXHIBIT "X". Supplement To Motion To Reconsider Motion To Suppress Filed December 7th, 2012.	Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 16th, 2012, Regarding Specific Instructions That Counsel Com-	146-147
Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ignored. Counsel Simply Filed Supplement. 24EXHIBIT "X". Supplement To Motion To Reconsider Motion To Suppress Filed December 7th, 2012.		148-155
press Filed December 7th, 2012.	Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 7th, 2012 With Specific Instructions That Counsel Completely Ig-	156-167
25 EXHIBIT "Y". Appendix Pages 168-169. Now Retired Judge Edward 168-169		158-167
	25EXHIBIT "Y", Appendix Pages 168-169. Now Retired Judge Edward	168-169

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, III-IXIII) IN ROMAN NUMERAL, EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR683104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010355, Not Limited Too.

Zaleski Reconsideration Decision Dated December 11th, 2012.	
26EXHIBIT "Z". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 3:16 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 19th, 2012, With Specific Instructions, That Counsel Completely Ignored.	170-173
27EXHIBIT "AA". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 19th, 2012, With Specific 6th and 4th Amendment Violations Concerns, not limited too. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email.	174-177
28EXHIBIT "AB". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated December 27th, 2012, With Specific 6th and 4th Amendment Violations Concerns, Not Limited Too.	178-183
29EXHIBIT *AC*. Federal Transcripts Dated February 15th, 2013 With Specific Attentions To Page 14, Lines 8-11, Because March of 2013, The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office Alleged They Never Knew I Was Detained In Federal Custody, When I Ordered Then Defense Counsel Jack Bradley To Have The State of Ohio Produce My Body For A March Court Date To Avoid Any Possible Speedy Time Stoppage Of The Defense, See The Following 4 Exhibits.	184-208
30EXHIBIT "AD". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated March 5th, 2013, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violations, Amongst Other Concerns, Not Limited Too Speedy Trial Violations. Counsel Also Completely Ignored This Email Content As Well.	209-210
31EXHIBIT "AE". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated March 6th, 2013, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violations Concerns. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email.	211-214

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-bxiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL, EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered1-655) PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

32EXHIBIT "AF". This Email Mirror's The Email Sent In Exhibit AE Of This Affidavit. It Was A Resend (Office secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), And Counsel Ignored This Email As Well.	215-218
33EXHIBIT "AG". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated March 18th, 2013, Expressing 6th Amendment Concerns. Counsel Ignored This Email.	219-221
34EXHIBIT "AH". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated March 23rd, 2013, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violations Concerns. Counsel Choose To Ignore This Email As Well.	222-227
35EXHIBIT "AI". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated March 26th, 2013, Requesting Counsel To Birth The Legal Process To Obtain Specific Brady Materials, Which I Have Been Requesting The Same Or Similar Dating Back To 2011 Informally and Formally Since The Email Referred To In ¶ 63 In This Affidavit. Counsel Continued His Pattern, And Ignored This Email As Well.	228-230
36EXHIBIT "AJ". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated April 11th, 2013, Expressing Brady, 6th and 4th Amendment Violations, not limited too. This Email Also Contained Specific Instructions, Which Individually and Collectively Counsel Ignored This Email.	231-234
37EXHIBIT "AK". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated May 22 nd , 2013, Expressing Specific Speedy Trial, Brady Request Instructions as well as 6 th and 4 th Amendment Violations Concerns. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email.	235-237
38EXHIBIT "AL". Email Clifton Jackson Sent at 7:18 P.M (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office,	238-244

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, III-IXIII) IN ROMAN NUMERAL, EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered1-655) PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 268 MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA019555, Not Limited Too.

245-249
250-254
255-256
257-259
260-262
263-267
268-271

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iff-ixili] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated October 28th, 2013, Expressing Brady, 6th and 4th Amendment Violation Concerns. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email.	
46EXHIBIT "AT". New Judge {Transcripts Of November 18th, 2013 Hearing, Which Was The First Appearance Ever In Front Of Judge John Miraldi, Whom Replaced Now Retired Judge Edward Zaleski On Or Around December Of 2012} Assigned. On Page 3, Lines 9-21, Page 4, Lines 1-11. Page 4 and 5, Lines 23-15, Highlights 6th Amendment Violation Concerns, Brady Concerns and Canon Code Violations.	272-283
47EXHIBIT "AU". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Office Secretary Ms. Mari Confirmed Received), To Counsel Jack Bradley's Law Office, Dated November 25th, 2013, Expressing 6th Amendment Violation Concerns, Amongst Other Legal Concerns And Specific Instructions Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe Was Officially Appointed.	284-286
48EXHIBIT "AV". Transcripts of November 25th, 2013 Hearing Clifton Jackson Received Via Email On Or Around December 17th, 2013. These Transcripts Are 5 of 5 Pages Unnumbered, Which Highlights Trial Judge John Miraldi Bias Disposition, Canon Code Violations, Specifically The 4th Page (Not Limited Too), Lines 2-18.	287-292
49EXHIBIT "AW". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Confirmed Received), To Newly Appointed Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe, With Specific Instructions Regarding Speedy Trial Dated December 23 rd , 2013 at 7:54 A.M. Trial Counsel Completely Ignored This Email and It's specific instructions.	293-294
50EXHIBIT "AX". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Confirmed Received), To Newly Appointed Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe, Dated December 23rd, 2013 at 8:04 A.M. This Email Expressed 6th and 4th Amendment Violations, Speedy Trial and Brady Violations As Well, With Specific Instructions That Trial Counsel Completely Ignored.	295-298
51EXHIBIT "AY", Appendix Pages 299-300. Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Confirmed Received), To Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe, Dated Janu-	299-300

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, III-IXIII) IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

ary 9th, 2014, Expressing Specific Instructions To Compel The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office To Adhere To The Brady Request Filed July 3td, 2013, Amongst Other Legal Concerns, Not Limited Too Speedy Trial Violations, 6th and 4th Amendment Violations. Counsel Ignored This Email As Well.	
52EXHIBIT "AZ". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Confirmed Received), To Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe, Dated January 11th, 2014 at 3:46 A.M., Regarding Compelling The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office To Adhere To The Brady Request Filed July 3rd, 2013. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email As Well.	301-302
53EXHIBIT "AAA". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Confirmed Received), To Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe, Dated January 11th, 2014, Reply Sent At 7:53 P.M.	303-304
54EXHIBIT "AAB". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Confirmed Received), To Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe, Dated January 12th, 2014, Regarding A Motion To Compel The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office To Completely Adhere To The Brady Request Filed July3rd, 2013. Counsel Completely Ignored This Email.	305-306
55EXHIBIT "AAC". Email Clifton Jackson Sent (Confirmed Received), To Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe, Dated January 13th, 2014, Addressing Brady and Speedy Trial Violations, That Per Instructions Counsel Subsequently Ignored.	307-308
56EXHIBIT "AAD". Confirms That Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe Received The Following From Clifton Jackson:	309-335
*Via A Office Visit After A Court Appearance, Counsel Received 77 of 77 (the greater majority of the mentioned and supporting emails, transcripts, motions etc.), documents to be submitted in support with the motion to dismiss and preclude, which was subsequently filed February 7th, 2014. All of	

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, III-Jaili) IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX (Appendix Pages are numbered1-655) PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

which are on the CD submitted Attached, in Exhibit "AAAC".

*The mentioned motion that was filed February 7th, 2014.

57., EXHIBIT "AAE". Copy OF Internal Complaint Clifton Jackson Filed Prior To The Commencement Of Trial, On Or Around February 7th, 2014 Against Ohio State Trooper Christopher Beyer For Falsifying The Arrest Report [(Exhibit "B" Attached), Also, Clearly Exhibit "B", Once Thoroughly Compared too Exhibit "A" Time Line, Trooper Christopher Beyer Allegations Of Alleged Events Does Not Exist Per Exhibit "A" Time Line. In Addition, What Is Also Extremely Important To Note: Paying Specific Attentions To Exhibits "O & P", Although Now Retired Judge Zaleski Recollection(s) Of Alleged Events Does Not Exist Compared To The Factual Events Supported By Exhibit "A" Time Line, Exhibit "A" Specific 24 Hour Military Time Line Is What Judge Zaleski Referred Too, Not And Alleged Notated Time Stamp Issue, Alleged By The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office And Trooper Christopher Beyer, See Exhibit "J", Page 11, Lines 5-13]. A Copy Of This Complaint Was Served Via Certified Mail To The Following Parties: Internal Affairs, Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe and the Lorain County Prosecutor's Office.

58...EXHIBIT "AAF". The Motion To Dismiss And Preclude Decision Dated Febru- 347-348 ary 10th, 2014.

59...EXHIBIT "AAG", This Exhibit Id Placed But Unnumbered. The Exhibit Cover Page Reference To The Exhibit That Belongs, But Have Eluded Clifton Jackson Primarily Based On A 6th Amendment Violation, Not Limited Too Violations Of Ohio Rules Of Professional Conduct, Supreme Court Rules That Govern The Bar, Supreme Court Rules That Govern The Judiciary And Codes Of Judicial Conduct. THIS EXHIBIT "AAG" IS PRIMARILY REFERENCED (SPEAKING OF THE FIRST AND SECOND DATE OF TRIAL, FEBRUARY 11th, 2014 AND FEBRUARY 12th, 2014) IN ¶ 113, 114, 115,116, 117 For The First Day And ¶ 118, 119 For The Second Day, Individually And/Or Collectively, Not Limited Too. Clifton Jackson Has Been Deprived Of A Hard Copy Of The Trial Transcripts By Paul Mancino Jr., Which Would Reside Here.

Not Numbered

336-346

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, IB-IXIII) IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIQ v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

60...EXHIBIT "AAH", This Exhibit Id Placed But Unnumbered. The Exhibit Cover Page Reference To The Exhibit That Belongs, But Have Eluded Clifton Jackson Primarily Based On A 6th Amendment Violation, Not Limited Too Violations Of Ohio Rules Of Professional Conduct, Supreme Court Rules That Govern The Bar, Supreme Court Rules That Govern The Judiciary And Codes Of Judicial Conduct. THIS EXHIBIT "AAG" IS PRIMARILY REFERENCED (SPEAKING OF THE FIRST AND SECOND DATE OF TRIAL, FEBRUARY 11th, 2014 AND FEBRUARY 12th, 2014) IN ¶ 113, 114, 115,116, 117 For The First Day And ¶ 118, 119 For The Second Day, Individually And/Or Collectively, Not Limited Too. Clifton Jackson Has Been Deprived Of A Hard Copy Of The Trial Transcripts By Paul Mancino Jr., Which Would Reside Here.

Not Numbered

61...EXHIBIT "AAI". The K-9 Consultant Report Clifton Jackson Obtained Immediately After The Trial Verdict, Supporting The State Of Ohio Has Been Well Aware That Ohio State Troopers K-9 Argo and Micheal Trader Has Been Patrolling The Highways As A K-9 Unit Illegally Via Documented Issues Prior To 2011 That Were Never Corrected Per The Report Prepared Dated 2013, Specifically In The Case Of Ohio v. Isaac Anderson and Ira Lee, Case No. 12CR084573. It is important To Note, Once The Specifics Of This Arrest Are Thoroughly Compared With The Specifics Of The Arrest In The Instant Case, Not Only Was Ohio State Troopers K-9 Argo, Micheal Trader The Alleged Indicating Officers, The Stop Locations Mirror, The Exact Alleged Indication Location Mirror, The Alleged Wind Argument Allegations Made By Ohio State Trooper Micheal Trader Mirror, not limited too. The Odds Of All The Mirroring Factor's, Mirror The Odds Of Winning A Billion Dollar Power Ball Lottery, Which Ultimately Caters To A Realm, The Mentioned Ohio State Troopers, Not Limited Too Are Possibly Patrolling The State of Ohio Highways With Predetermined Illegal Sets Of Circumstances To Induce Arrest Under Fraudulent Content.

349-370

62...EXHIBIT "AAJ". The Legally Alarming Reply/Response From Lt. Lawrence V. Firmi Bucyrus District Criminal Investigations Commander Dated February 19th, 2014, Highlighting The Injustice Done Onto Clifton Jackson, Regarding The Internal Complaint Filed February Of 2014 (Ex-

371-372

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, ill-lxlii) IN ROMAN NUMERAL, EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

hibit "AAF" Attached).	
63EXHIBIT "AAK". A Detailed, Instruction Filled Correspondence (Although Many Typing Errors), Dated April 9th, 2014, Which Was Mailed Certified Mail Return Receipt To Appointed Appellant Counsel Paul Griffin, Which Was CC// To Paul Mancino Jr., Amongst Others. All Parties Completely Ignored This Correspondence.	373-390
64EXHIBIT "AAL". On Or Around May 1st, 2014, Clifton Jackson Family Retained Paul Mancino Jr. Of Mancino, Mancino & Mancino Of Cleveland, Ohio. On Or Around This Same Date, Paul Mancino Jr. Notified Paul Griffin Of The Same, However Paul Mancino Jr., Failed To Meet Any And All Procedural Notifications Of Representation With The Appellate Courts, Which Is Entirely Supported By The Correspondence Attached Dated July 15th, 2014 Authored By Paul Griffin, Whom Had To Subsequently File The Notice Of Extension Attached Also Dated July 15th, 2014, And Lastly The Copy Of The Letter Paul Mancino Sent To Paul Griffin Dated May 1st, 2014, Which Is Also Attached.	391-395
65EXHIBIT "AAM". A Detailed Instruction Filled Letter Clifton Jackson Sent To Paul Mancino Jr., Dated July 22 nd , 2014, Expressing 6th and 4th Amendment Violations, Brady Violations Amongst Other Legal Concerns, Not Limited Too Perjury And Prompting K-9 Argo. Counsel Completely Ignored This Correspondence.	396-400
66EXHIBIT "AAN". Appellant Brief Paul Mancino Jr., Filed July 29th, 2014 Without Ever Having Any Forms Of Direct Or Indirect Vehicles Of Communications With Clifton Jackson.	401-446
67EXHIBIT "AAO". "Bombshell Of An Active Story", Clifton Jackson Prepared August Of 2014, Which Was Sent As A September 2014 Post To Many Destinations Not Limited Too Formal United States Attorney General Eric Holder Regime. This Story Was Also Mailed Certified Mail And Emailed On Multiple Occasions To Paul Mancino Jr., Law Office With Specific Attentions To Adhere To Pages 8-14, Which Highlights Detailed Instructions For Counsel To File A Supplemental Brief To The Appeal. Coun-	447-473

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, III-IXII] IN ROMAN NUMERAL. EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

sel Completely Ignored This Notifications Individually And Collectively.	
68EXHIBIT "AAP". Appellee Brief Filed By The Lorain County Prosecutor's Office, Stamped September 8th, 2014, Which Is Entirely Relying Upon Evidence Obtained In Violation Of The Fruits Of The Poisonous Tree Doctrine, Amongst Others Constitutional And Possibly Civil Rights Violations.	474-510
69EXHIBIT "AAQ". Reply Brief Of Appellant Filed By Paul Mancino Jr., Law Office On Or Around September 19th, 2014. Again Counsel Filed This Reply Brief Without Ever Communicating With Clifton Jackson Via Any Of The Applicable Vehicles Of Communications, Not Limited Too, Attorney Visits, Phone Calls, United State Postage, Emails Etcl	511-522
70EXHIBIT "AAR". RULES OF COURT VOLUME I - STATE 2015. Ohio Rules Of Professional Conduct, Supreme Court Rules Of The Government Of The Bar, Supreme Court Rules Of The Government Of The Judiciary And Codes Of Judicial Conduct Rules. Individually and/or Collectively, These Are Just Some Of The Rules Lawyers and Judges Are Strictly Governed By.	523-538
71EXHIBIT "AAS". Court Of Appeals Decision, Filed June 22 nd , 2015, Which The Audio & Video (Exhibit "A" Of The This Affidavit), Confirms The Appellate Decision Relied Primarily On Evidence Induced Under Fraudulent Content.	539-576
72EXHIBIT "AAT". Correspondence Clifton Jackson Sent To Paul Mancino Jr. Certified Mail Dated July 6th, 2015, Expressing 6th Amendment Violations Concerns.	577-579
73EXHIBIT "AAU". Response Clifton Jackson Received From Paul Mancino Jr. Dated July 10th, 2015, Which Was A Response From Exhibit "AAT" Attached.	580-581
74EXHIBIT "AAV". Are Copies Of Letters Prepared By Clifton Jackson, Which The First Was A 5 of 5 Pages Titled "More Than An 4th Amendment Violation, The Face Of Being Profiled", Dated July 13th, 2015, Which Was Mailed Certified Mail	582-595

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, III-Ixiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 268 MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

To The State Of Ohio Public Defenders Office, The State Of Ohio Attorney General Office, The State Of Ohio Bar Association Amongst Many Other Destinations. The Second Was A Supplement (To The First 5 of 5 Pages Titled "More Than An 4th Amendment Violation, The Face Of Belng Profiled"), Which Was 8 of 8 Pages That Also Acted As A Notice Of Address Change, Dated July 20th, 2015.

75...EXHIBIT "AAW". Letter Clifton Jackson Prepared And Sent To The State Of Ohio Public Defenders Office Certified Mail, Dated July 14th, 2015, Regarding Appealing To The Ohio Supreme Court Amongst Other Legal Concerns.

76...EXHIBIT "AAX". A Notice Of Address Change Dated August 3rd, 2015. 598-599

596-597

600-603

77...EXHIBIT "AAY". Copies Of Responses Clifton Jackson Received From The State Of Ohio Public Defenders Office Received On Separate Dates (Via My Detaining Facility Legal Mail Process, Which Confirms The Date(s) The Facility Received The Legal Mail And The Date(s) Clifton Jackson Signed For The Legal Mail), The First Received Dated August 17th, 2015 and The Second Received Dated August 21st, 2015, In Part Which Stated Individually and/or Collectively:

August 17th, 2015 in part stated:

"Enclosed is a packet of forms and instructions that will help you file a motion on your own if are unable to hire other counsel." END QUOTE

August 21st, 2015 in part stated:

"The most promising potential claim is the one involving Argo. But, according to your notes, you obtained the report of Argo's deficiencies mid February/2014. You were tried and convicted on February 13th, 2014. So it would be very difficult to prove that this material could not have been found at or shortly after the time of your trial." END QUOTE

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of effidavit unnumbered, III-ixili] IN ROMAN NUMERAL, EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-855] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

So it is extremely clear the Brady Violation's denying Clifton Jackson the materials requested filed July 3rd, 2013, were and continues to be extremely harmful to Clifton Jackson fight for justice. It is also extremely clear the Ohio Rules Of Professional Conduct, Supreme Court Rules For The Government Of The Bar, Supreme Court Rules For The Government Of The Judiciary, Code Of Judicial Conduct Violations committed by the Lorain County Prosecutor's Office, Now Retired Judge Edward Zaleski, Jack Bradley, Trial Judge John Miraldi, Trial Counsel Mark Aufdenkampe and all others known and/or unknown individually or collectively harmed Clifton Jackson to a grave degree prior, during and after the suppression hearing in June of 2012 and the trial of February of 2014. 78...EXHIBIT "AAZ", Copy Of Response Clifton Jackson Received From 604-605 The State Of Ohio Bar Association Dated August 19th, 2015. 79...EXHIBIT "AAAA". Notice Of A Delayed Appeal Prepared By Clifton 606-647 Jackson To The Best Of His Laymen Abilities, Which Was Subsequently Filed With The Ohio Supreme Court September 3rd, 2015, Case No. 15-1458. 80...EXHIBIT "AAAB". An Unsigned Letter Paul Mancino Jr., Sent To Clifton Jack-648-649 son Late August To Early September Of 2015 (Counsel Knew Clifton Jackson Was Being Housed in The Western District Of New York Pending Trial And The Subsequent Sentencing Via Multiple Notifications From Clifton Jackson, In Addition To Paul Mancino Jr., Dialog Established With Clifton Jackson Federal Trial Attorney David Cotter Between The Time Frame Of December Of 2014 Throughout Late June To Early July Of 2015. 650-651 81...EXHIBIT "AAAC". Copy Of The Supreme Court Of Ohio Delayed Appeal Decision Dated October 28th, 2015. 652-653 82...EXHIBIT "AAAD". Constitution Amendment Excerpts, (Which States in Part) Specifically From The: **United States**

ixi

Code

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED [first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, iii-lxiii] IN ROMAN NUMERAL, EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES, AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 268 MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CAQ10555, Not Limited Too.

Annotated

4th Amendment-SEARCHES AND SEIZURES

THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE TO BE SECURE IN THEIR PERSONS, HOUSES, PAPERS, AND EFFECTS, AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEARCHES AND SEIZURES, SHALL NOT BE VIOLATED, AND NO WARRANTS SHALL ISSUE, BUT UPON PROBABLE CAUSE, SUPPORTED BY OATH OR AFFIRMATION, AND PARTICULARLY DESCRIBING THE PLACE TO BE SEARCHED, AND THE PERSONS OR THINGS TO BE SEIZED.

6th Amendment—JURY TRIAL FOR CRIMES, AND PROCEDURAL RIGHTS

IN ALL CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS, THE ACCUSED SHALL ENJOY
THE RIGHT TO A SPEEDY AND PUBLIC TRIAL, BY AN IMPARTIAL
JURY OF THE STATE AND DISTRICT WHEREIN THE CRIME SHALL
HAVE BEEN COMMITTED, WHICH DISTRICT SHALL HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY ASCERTAINED BY LAW, AND TO BE INFORMED OF THE
NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE ACCUSATION; TO BE CONFRONTED
WITH THE WITNESSES AGAINST HIM; TO HAVE COMPULSORY PROCESS FOR OBTAINING WITNESSES IN HIS FAVOR, AND TO HAVE
THE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL FOR HIS DEFENCE.

14th Amendment-DUE PROCESS OF LAW

CITIZENSHIP; PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES; DUE PROCESS; EQUAL PROTECTION; APPORTIONMENT OF REPRESENTATION; DISQUALIFICATION OF OFFICERS; PUBLIC DEBT; ENFORCEMENT

MATERIALS FOR THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE OF SECTION 1 ARE SET OUT IN THIS VOLUME AND THE PRECEDING VOLUME. SEE THE VOLUME CONTAINING AMENDMENTS 7 TO 14 FOR MATERIALS PERTAINING TO THE CITIZENSHIP AND PRIVILEGES AND IMMINITIES CLAUSE OF THAT SECTION AND THE VOLUME CONTAINING THE END OF THE CONSTSITUTION FOR MATERIALS PERTAINING TO THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THAT SECTION AND SECTIONS 2

CLIFTON JACKSON AFFIDAVIT AND APPENDIX OF EXHIBTS ARE NUMBERED (first two cover pages of affidavit unnumbered, (IF-Ixili) IN ROMAN NUMERAL, EXHIBIT PAGES ARE CROSS REFERENCED AS APPENDIX [Appendix Pages are numbered1-655] PAGES. AFFIDAVIT AND EXHIBITS ARE IN SUPPORT OF 26B MOTION TO REOPEN STATE OF OHIO v. CLIFTON JACKSON, CASE NO. 11CR083104, NINTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. 14CA010555, Not Limited Too.

654
655